

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Notice of Allowability indicated allowance of claims 69-77. However, the Examiner's Amendment deleted claims 71 and 72. Therefore, the allowed should not have listed claims 71 and 72.


The Examiner's Amendment amended claims 76 and 77 to replace the term "prevention" with the term --treatment--. However, such an amendment results in claims 76 and 77 being duplicates of claims 74 and 75. Therefore, this AMENDMENT cancels claims 76 and 77 to avoid duplicate claims in the patent.

Finally, claim 78, which depends from claims 73, is not mentioned in the Examiner's Amendment. Since it depends from claim 73, it would appear to be allowable along with claim 73. Therefore, claim 78 is presented in the above.

Entry of this AMENDMENT is therefore respectfully requested.

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman  
& Chick, P.C.  
767 Third Ave., 25th Floor  
New York, NY 10017-2023  
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900  
Fax No.: (212) 319-5101  
MJC/ld

Respectfully submitted,



MARSHALL J. CHICK  
Reg. No. 26,853